Design Document Assessment Checklist

The 16 Essential Properties for DevSecOps Engineers

Project Name:	
Design Document Title:	
Document Version:	_
Assessed By:	
Assessment Date:	

Instructions

Use this checklist to evaluate design documents before beginning implementation. For each property:

- \square Mark the checkbox if present and adequate
- Mark with warning if present but inadequate
- X Mark with X if missing
- Add notes in the comments section for follow-up

Scoring Guide:

- 14-16 properties met: **V** Ready for implementation
- 10-13 properties met: 🔥 Needs minor clarification
- Below 10: **X** Requires significant design work

FOUNDATION PROPERTIES: Getting the Basics Right

☐ 1. Clear Structure

Definition: Document uses consistent template with logical organization

Assessment Criteria:

- Sections are clearly labeled and easy to navigate
- Information is where you expect to find it
- Template follows established standards

Status: □ Present & Adequate <u>↑</u> Present but Inadequate **メ** Missing

Comments:
□ 2. Comprehensive Coverage
Definition: Addresses all 5 pillars of Well-Architected Framework
Assessment Criteria:
 ■ Reliability considerations documented
 ■ Security measures addressed
 □ Cost optimization strategies included
ullet Operational excellence covered
 ■ Performance efficiency discussed
Status: □ Present & Adequate ↑ Present but Inadequate X Missing
Comments:
☐ 3. Detailed Specifications
Definition: Technical details and design choices explained with clear diagrams
Assessment Criteria:
No "TBD" or hand-waving in critical sections
Architecture diagrams are clear and detailed
Technical decisions are justified
Status: □ Present & Adequate ⚠ Present but Inadequate ✗ Missing
Comments:

 \square 4. Functional Requirements

Assessment Criteria:
Business requirements are captured
Traced through to technical decisions
Purpose and goals are explicit
Status: □ Present & Adequate ↑ Present but Inadequate X Missing
Comments:
BOUNDARY PROPERTIES: Defining What's In and Out
□ 5. Scope Definition
Definition: Explicit boundaries of what's included and excluded Assessment Criteria:
• Clear statement of what's in scope
Explicit exclusions documented
Scope creep prevention measures
Status: □ Present & Adequate ↑ Present but Inadequate ✗ Missing
Comments:
□ 6. Metadata
Definition: Document state, work items, cross-references, stakeholders Assessment Criteria:
Document version and state tracked
Links to related work items

Definition: Clear "what" and "why" with business context

• Key stakeholders identified

Status: □ Present & Adequate ⚠ Present but Inadequate ✗ Missing
Comments:
SECURITY & ARCHITECTURE PROPERTIES
□ 7. Security Considerations
Definition: Threat modeling performed, security controls integrated Assessment Criteria:
Threat modeling has been conducted
Security controls are part of design, not afterthought
Compliance requirements addressed
Status: □ Present & Adequate ↑ Present but Inadequate ✗ Missing
Comments:
□ 8. Modularity
Definition: Architecture broken into reusable components Assessment Criteria:
Clear component boundaries
Reusability considerations
Enables parallel development
Status: □ Present & Adequate ↑ Present but Inadequate ✗ Missing
Comments:

☐ 9. Cost Analysis **Definition:** Resource usage projections and optimization strategies **Assessment Criteria:** • Actual cost numbers provided • Resource usage estimates included Optimization strategies documented **Status:** □ Present & Adequate Present but Inadequate Missing **Comments:** ☐ 10. Performance Considerations **Definition:** Scaling strategies and resource optimization documented **Assessment Criteria:** • Performance targets defined Scaling approach documented • Resource optimization considered **Status:** □ Present & Adequate Present but Inadequate Missing **Comments:** ☐ 11. Operational Aspects **Definition:** Monitoring, automation, management practices covered **Assessment Criteria:**

OPERATIONAL REALISM PROPERTIES

- Monitoring strategy defined
- Automation plans included

Management practices documented
Status: □ Present & Adequate ⚠ Present but Inadequate ✗ Missing
Comments:
☐ 12. Reliability Measures
Definition: Redundancy, failover, availability targets defined Assessment Criteria:
Redundancy strategy documented
Failover approach clear
Availability targets specified
Status: □ Present & Adequate ⚠ Present but Inadequate ✗ Missing
Comments:
QUALITY & GOVERNANCE PROPERTIES
☐ 13. Alignment with Best Practices
Definition: Demonstrates knowledge of platform best practices Assessment Criteria:
• Follows well-architected principles
Aligns with platform guidelines
Justifies deviations from standards
Status: □ Present & Adequate ⚠ Present but Inadequate ✗ Missing
Comments:

□ 14. Risk Assessment
Definition: Potential risks identified with mitigation strategies Assessment Criteria:
Risks identified across all pillars
Mitigation strategies defined
Risk owners assigned
Status: □ Present & Adequate
Comments:
□ 15. Traceability
Definition: Links to specs, documentation, decision processes
Assessment Criteria:
Related specifications linked
Decision-making process documented
• Audit trail exists
Status: □ Present & Adequate ↑ Present but Inadequate X Missing
Comments:
□ 16. Flexibility for Iteration
Definition: Design allows for continuous improvement
Assessment Criteria:

- Not overly rigid or prescriptive
- Allows for optimization over time
- Accommodates learning

Status: □ Present & Adequate ↑ Present but Inadequate X Missing
Comments:
ACCECCMENTE CLIMANA ADV
ASSESSMENT SUMMARY
Total Properties Met (Adequate):/ 16
Total Properties Needing Work: / 16
Total Properties Missing: / 16
Overall Status:
• □ ✓ Ready for Implementation (14-16 met)
• □ ⚠ Needs Minor Clarification (10-13 met)
• □ X Requires Significant Work (Below 10)
CONTEXT CONSIDERATIONS
Project Type:
 □ Greenfield (all properties should apply)
 ■ Enhancement (some properties inherited)
 ■ Proof of Concept (minimal properties acceptable)
 □ Small Scope Change (targeted properties only)
Properties Not Applicable Due to Context:
NEXT STEPS
Immediate Actions Required:

2.
3.
Information to Request from Design Team:
Implementation Concerns to Discuss:
Target Date for Re-assessment:
Assessment Completed: □ Yes
Design Team Notified: ☐ Yes
Follow-up Scheduled: □ Yes
This assessment checklist is based on the Design Document Assessment Strategy for DevSecOps workflows. For
more information, visit [your blog URL]